Comparison Of Dental Caries Status Among Healthy And Diabetes Mellitus Patients - A Cross Sectional Study

^[1] Sridevi Sivaramakrishnan,^[2] Dr.Jai Santhosh Manikandan,^[3] Dr.Sai Krishna,^[4] Dr. Makesh Raj, ^[5] Dr.Hemalatha
^[1]Tagore dental college and hospital,India,600127.
^[2] Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology,Tagore dental college and hospital,India,600127.

To access & cite this article

Website: tmjpds.com



ABSTRACT

Diabetes mellitus is a disease characterized by increased blood glucose level.Diabetes patients have a higher risk of getting dental caries. This short term clinical study was performed to assess the dental caries status in diabetes mellitus patients.Our results showed that there is a statistically significant difference of the DMFT (Decayed,Missing,Filled teeth) index among the healthy and diabetes mellitus patients.

Key words: Diabetes Mellitus, DMFT index, Dental Caries, Saliva.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is characterized by elevated blood glucose levels. The two main forms of DM are type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), both of which are primarily brought on by improper insulin secretion (T1DM) and/or action (T2DM). 90% of patients globally with DM have T2DM.[1]Insufficient insulin production or elevated cellular insulin resistance are the causes for the increase in the blood glucose levels. Retinopathy, nephropathy, neuropathy, macrovascular disease, and poor wound healing are some frequent and well known effects of diabetes mellitus.[2] Dry mouth, dental caries, periodontal disease, gingivitis, oral candidiasis or thrush, burning mouth syndrome, taste disturbances. rhinocerebral zygomycosis (mucormycosis), aspergillosis, oral lichen planus, geographic tongue, and others have all been linked to diabetes mellitus[3].

Dental caries is a multifaceted disease. According to reports, people with diabetes suffer it more frequently and severely than people without the brought disease.[4]Dental caries is on bv demineralization, which is brought on by the buildup of microbial plaque flora, an increase in salivary glucose levels, a decrease in salivary calcium levels, and a reduction in salivary flow rate. Saliva's ability to remineralize internally is known to be maintained by remineralization-favoring substances such as calcium, phosphates, and fluorides.[5]

Therefore, this short-term clinical investigation was conducted to evaluate the prevalence of dental caries in both healthy individuals and people with diabetes mellitus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 60 study subjects were recruited from the patients reporting to the Outpatient department.Subjects were grouped under two categories of 30 subjects each, as healthy and diabetic patients.

INCLUSION CRITERIA

Inclusion criteria for the control group included healthy patients without systemic disease of 30 - 70Years of age, Any gender, and HbA1c values : < 6.5%. The Diabetic group included subjects of 30 - 70Years of age, Any gender, with history of diabetes, and HbA1c values : $\geq 6.5\%$

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

Exclusion criteria for the control group included participants with a history of diabetes including gestational diabetes and other metabolic diseases. And for the diabetic group Participants without history of diabetes, and are under medication for any other disease are excluded. Participants who are under medications for diseases other than diabetics, and ongoing dental treatments are excluded from both the groups.

CLINICAL EXAMINATION

The dental caries status of the individual was recorded by employing Decayed – Missing- Filled tooth (DMFT) index.Since its introduction in the 1930s, the Decayed, Missing, and Filled Teeth (DMFT) index has become the most widely used population-based indicator of caries prevalence in the globe. This index displays the total amount of a person's permanent teeth or surfaces that are decaying, missing, and filled (DMFS).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Descriptive statistics was done to assess the mean and standard deviation among the study variables. Inferential statistics was done by using unpaired t test to compare the mean values between the diabetic and healthy patients. To analyze the data SPSS software was used. Significance level is fixed as 5% ($\alpha = 0.05$). P-value <0.05 is considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

The mean and the standard deviation of the DMFT index of healthy and diabetes patients was found to be 4.5000±2.75118,7.6000±2.81131 respectively.The DMFT values among healthy and diabetes mellitus patients were statistically significant with a p value of 0.0011 and 0.0016 respectively [Table.1].

DISCUSSION

A group of chronic diseases known as diabetes mellitus (DM) are characterized by a lack of insulin, cellular resistance to insulin action, or both. This results in hyperglycemia and other related metabolic abnormalities. [6] 2.8% of persons globally had diabetes in 2000, and 4.4% may have it by 2030. [7] DM has also been associated with oral mucosal infections such lichen planus, recurrent aphthous stomatitis, and candidiasis, as well as dental caries, gingivitis, periodontitis, impairment of the salivary glands, altered taste, and other conditions. According to some authors, diabetic people have a three times higher chance of developing dental caries than non-diabetics. Even though both diseases are linked to the consumption of dietary carbohydrates, there has been far less focus on the connection between diabetes and dental caries, especially among adults. [8] Our study showed significantly higher incidence of caries in diabetic patients with a mean value of 7.600 ± 2.81131 to that of 4.500 ± 2.75118 in healthy patients.This may be because diabetes mellitus has been shown to affect salivary composition and function, which ultimately affects the health of the oral cavity and teeth.[8]

Diabetes patients may be at an increased risk of developing caries because of hyposalivation and increasing salivary glucose levels caused by insulin insufficiency.[9]

There is evidence that saliva from people with low caries has higher levels of the following: pH and buffering, higher levels of calcium and phosphorus, higher concentrations of ammonia, high ATP and fructose diphosphate concentrations, increased bacterial O2 absorption and aldolase activity increased antibacterial activity overall, increased opsonin activity, increased antimicrobial activity against lactobacilli and streptococci, Higher proportion of intact leukocytes and a different ratio of epithelial to leukocyte cells.[6]

The activity of bacteria is affected by increasing the level of glucose in the saliva. Because of their capacity to foster an environment with a low pH that promotes the progression of caries, Streptococcus mutans and Lactobacillus are thought to be associated to caries and to be the most cariogenic bacteria [10].

Moreover, calcium in saliva serves as a key mineral that prevents tooth dissolution by supplying a constant and continuous supply to the affected areas of teeth. Failure to regulate blood glucose in patients with diabetes has a significant negative impact on salivary calcium levels.[8]Hence, the future course of research must be made to find the salivary calcium levels in the diabetic patients and find its correlation with dental caries status of the individual.

For this reason, a potent way of monitoring oral illnesses in diabetes mellitus patients is the combined examination of dental caries, salivary components, and bacterial pathogens in saliva.

Therefore, as diabetes is an adult disease, when uncontrolled it becomes an important risk factor for caries.

LIMITATION

The primary drawback of the study was the small sample size, which requires a more thorough study with a larger sample size to support these values.

Correlation between Salivary glucose and salivary calcium with the dental caries status of diabetes mellitus patients is necessary inorder to know about the true nature of the disease.

CONCLUSION

Diabetes being one of the most common systemic disease affecting an individual, monitoring salivary biomarkers could become an important complement to clinical examinations in diabetes patients.Our findings have proved that their is increase in dental caries prevalence in diabetic patients.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

STATEMENT OF INFORMED CONSENT

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

REFERENCES:

1.Aghazadeh A, Rutger Persson G, Renvert S. A single-centre randomized controlled clinical trial on the adjunct treatment of intra-bony defects with autogenous bone or a xenograft: results after 12 months. J Clin Periodontol. 2012 Jul;39(7):666-73.

2.Andersen H, Aass AM, Wohlfahrt JC. Porous titanium granules in the treatment of peri implant osseous defects-a 7-year follow-up study. Int J Implant Dent. 2017 Dec 4;3(1):50

3.Ashnagar S, Nowzari H, Nokhbatolfoghahaei H,Yaghoub Zadeh B, Chiniforush NChoukhachiZadeh N, et al. Laser treatment of peri-implantitis:A literature review. J Lasers Med Sci2014;5:153-62.

4.Bassetti M, Schär D, Wicki B, Eick S, Ramseier CA, Arweiler NB, et al. Anti-infective therapy of peri-implantitis with adjunctive local drug delivery or photodynamic therapy: 12-month outcomes of a randomized controlled clinical trial. Clin Oral Implants Res 2014;25:279-87.

5.Berglundh T, Armitage G, et al. Peri-implant diseases and conditions: Consensus report of workgroup 4 of the 2017 World Workshop on the Classification of Periodontal and Peri-Implant Diseases and Conditions. J Clin Periodontol. 2018;45(Suppl 20):S286–S291.

6.Daugela P, Cicciù M, Saulacic N. Surgical Regenerative Treatments for Peri-Implantitis: Metaanalysis of Recent Findings in a Systematic Literature Review J Oral Maxillofac Res 2016;7(3):e15

7.Derks J, Tomasi C. Peri-implant health and disease. A systematic review of current epidemiology. J Clin Periodontol. 2015;42(Suppl 16):S158-S171.

8.de Waal YC, Raghoebar GM, Huddleston Slater JJ, Meijer HJ, Winkel EG, van Winkelhoff AJ. Implant decontamination during surgical periimplantitis treatment: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial.J Clin Periodontol 2013;40:186–95.

9.Froum SJ, Rosen PS. A proposed classification for peri-implantitis. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent. 2012 Oct;32(5):533-40

10.Khoury F, Keeve PL, Ramanauskaite A, Schwarz F, Koo KT, Sculean A, Romanos G.

Surgical treatment of peri-implantitis - Consensus report of working group 4. Int Dent J. 2019 Sep;69 Suppl 2

11.Mailoa J, Lin GH, Chan HL, MacEachern M, Wang HL. Clinical outcomes of using lasers for peri-implantitis surface detoxification: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Periodontol 2014;85:1194-202.

12.Mahato N, Wu X, Wang L. Management of periimplantitis: a systematic review, 2010-2015. Springerplus. 2016 Feb 1;5:105.

13.Moraschini V, Poubel LA, Ferreira VF, Barboza Edos S. Evaluation of survival and success rates of dental implants reported in longitudinal studies with a follow-up period of at least 10 years: a systematic review. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2015;44(3):377-388.

14.Muthukuru M, Zainvi A, Esplugues EO, Flemmig TF. Non-surgical therapy for the management of peri-implantitis: A systematic review. Clin Oral Implants Res 2012;23 Suppl 6:77-83.

15.Passi D, Singh M, Dutta SR, Sharma S, Atri M, Ahlawat J, Jain A. Newer proposed classification of periimplant defects: A critical update. J Oral Biol Craniofac Res. 2017 Jan-Apr;7(1):58-61

16.Persson GR, Salvi GE, Heitz-Mayfield LJ, Lang NP. Antimicrobial therapy using a local drug delivery system (Arestin) in the treatment of peri-implantitis. I: Microbiological outcomes. Clin Oral Implants Res 2006;17:386-93.

17.Regi B M, Savita S, Kaimal G, Peri implantitis: An overview. IP Int J Periodontol Implantol

2020;5(1):11-15

18.Renvert S, Roos-Jansaker AM, Claffey N. Non-surgical treatment of peri-implant mucositis and peri-implantitis: A literature review. J Clin Periodontol 2008;35:305-15.

1.Sapra A, Bhandari P. Diabetes Mellitus. [Updated 2022 Jun 26]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2022 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK551501/

2.Lamster IB, Lalla E, Borgnakke WS, Taylor GW. The relationship between oral health and diabetes mellitus. J Am Dent Assoc. 2008 Oct;139 Suppl:19S-24S. doi: 10.14219/jada.archive.2008.0363. PMID: 18809650.

3.Rohani B. Oral manifestations in patients with diabetes mellitus. World J Diabetes. 2019 Sep 15;10(9):485-489. doi: 10.4239/wjd.v10.i9.485. PMID: 31558983; PMCID: PMC6748880.

4.Karjalainen, Kaisa. "Periodontal diseases, dental caries, and saliva in relation to clinical characteristics of type 1 diabetes." (2000).

5.Llena-Puy C. The rôle of saliva in maintaining oral health and as an aid to diagnosis. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2006 Aug;11(5):E449-55. English, Spanish. PMID: 16878065.

6.Ladgotra A, Verma P, Raj SS. Estimation of Salivary and Serum Biomarkers in Diabetic and Non Diabetic Patients - A Comparative Study. J Clin Diagn Res. 2016 Jun;10(6):ZC56-61. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2016/19135.7995. Epub 2016 Jun 1. PMID: 27504412; PMCID: PMC4963772.

7.Singh A, Thomas S, Dagli R, katti R, Solanki J, Bhateja GA. To Access the Effects of Salivary Factors on Dental Caries among Diabetic Patients and Non Diabetic Patients in Jodhpur City. Journal of Advanced Oral Research. 2014;5(2):10-14. doi:10.1177/2229411220140204

8.Tiongco REG, Arceo ES, Rivera NS, Flake CCD, Policarpio AR. Estimation of salivary glucose, amylase, calcium, and phosphorus among nondiabetics and diabetics: Potential identification of non-invasive diagnostic markers. Diabetes Metab Syndr. 2019 Jul-Aug;13(4):2601-2605. doi: 10.1016/j.dsx.2019.07.037. Epub 2019 Jul 13. PMID: 31405682.

9.Malvania E. A., Sheth S. A., Sharma A. S., Mansuri S., Shaikh F., Sahani S. Dental caries prevalence among type II diabetic and nondiabetic adults attending a hospital. Journal of International Society of Preventive and Community Dentistry. 2016;6(9):232–236. doi: 10.4103/2231-0762.197202.

10.Chase I., Berkowitz R. J., Mundorff-Shrestha S. A., Proskin H. M., Weinstein P., Billings R. Clinical outcomes for Early Childhood Caries (ECC): the influence of salivary mutans streptococci levels. European Journal of Dentistry. 2004;5(3):143–146.

TABLE 1. Unpaired t test for DMFT valuesamong Control and Diabetes mellitus patients.

	DMFT
CONTROL	4.5000±2.75118
DIABETES MELLITUS	7.6000±2.81131
t VALUE	4.019
P VALUE	0.0016*

Figure 1: COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF DMFT AMONG HEALTHY AND DIABETIC PATIENTS

